P	la	n	n	i	n	α
-				-		-

Project	Description
----------------	--------------------

Toject Bescription							
	FOR OFFICE USE ONLY:	Version #	APP #				

Background - 4970.10.3(d)(1)

The North Lake Tahoe Recreation Access Plan (NLTRAP) is intended to resolve current and pending recreational issues and conflicts and establish recreational patterns of use and opportunities in the North lake Tahoe area. The plan is being developed in the context of regional strategic planning to provide a range of recreation features, facilities and opportunities on public land through lower-level strategic planning. Public recreation, tourism, and water quality are to be considered during the community-driven and consensus based decision making process to establish objectives.

North Lake Tahoe consists of the eastern portion of Placer County and encompasses the towns and areas in between Tahoma, Tahoe City, Kings Beach and Truckee. North Lake Tahoe is a world class recreation destination that draws large numbers of users in all seasons of the year. Users include Snowmobiles, snow bikes, tracked vehicles, backcountry and nordic skiers, fat tire bicyclists and snowshoers in the winter and motorcycle, ATV, mountain bikers, equestrians, trail runners, and hikers in the summer. The recreation areas primarily fall on US Forest Service and California State Park land while most access points lie adjacent to County or State roadways. As competing recreation access demands and recreational activities on public lands increase in intensity, the need to balance competing uses and interests becomes more imperative. There is a need to manage opportunities so that they best contribute to the recreation economy while supporting social and environmental values and so that the growing number of user conflicts are minimized. The number of users has been increasing and the current access infrastructure is inadequate to handle the load. This leads to issues and conflicts including overcrowding of current trail heads and an increase in the use of impactful or illegal locations.

Without recreational strategic planning, conflict among resource users will be exacerbated and access, recreational and conservation management issues will continue to remain controversial and unresolved. The intent of the plan is to collect data via manual counts, surveys, and electronic "big" data and use this to identify the demand for different user groups to access different resources and areas. Once these "hot spots" are identified, the plan will come up with potential solutions to enhance and improve the access in these areas. Future projects would then be able to tier off of this plan to construct the improvements.

There are numerous groups who are invested in the recreation access in North Lake Tahoe and the plan would utilize the input and knowledge of these groups by partnering with them throughout the process. Some of the key partners include the Tahoe Regional Planning Agency (TRPA), Town of Truckee, Tahoe City Public Utility District (TCPUD), North Tahoe Public Utilities District (NTPUD), Northstar Community Services District (NCSD), Tahoe Backcountry Alliance (TBA), Sierra Snowmobile Foundation (SSF), Tahoe Mountain Bike Association (TMBA), Tahoe Rim Trail Association, and others.

Project Description - 4970.10.3(d)

List of Project Deliverables - 4970.10.3(d)(2)

Provide a list of Project Deliverables the Applicant proposes to undertake

#	Project Deliverable Description
	Task 1: Project Management and Public Outreach Deliverables Monthly progress reports and schedules Memorandum that synthesizes the results of the meeting, an action items to be complete by

	identified parties prepare by Consultant and distribution to all attendees
2.	Task 2: Existing Conditions Analysis Deliverables: A memorandum or draft report section that summarizes the outcome of mapping and data gathering effort Base Map in GIS for
3.	Task 3: Report Preparation Deliverables: • Draft copies of the Plan • Meeting presentation materials, and a summary synthesizing the results of the meeting, an actions items to be completed by identified parties • Final copies of the Plan in electronic and paper form.
4.	
5.	
6.	
7.	
8.	
9.	
10.	
11.	
12.	
13.	
14.	
15.	

Relation of Proposed Project to OHV Recreation - 4970.10.3(d)(3)

North Lake Tahoe is a world class recreation destination that draws large numbers of users in all seasons of the year. Users include Snowmobiles, snowbikes, tracked vehicles, backcountry and nordic skiers, fat tire bicyclists and snowshoers in the winter and motorcycle, ATV, mountain bikers, off-road vehicles, equestrians, trail runners, and hikers in the summer. The recreation areas primarily fall on US Forest Service and California State Park land while most access points lie adjacent to County or State roadways. As competing recreation access demands and recreational activities on public lands increase in intensity, the need to balance competing uses and interests becomes more imperative. There is a need to manage opportunities so that they best contribute to the recreation economy while supporting social and environmental values and so that the growing number of user conflicts are minimized. The number of users has been increasing and the current access infrastructure is inadequate to handle the load. This leads to issues and conflicts including overcrowding of current trailheads and an increase in the use of impactful or illegal locations.

This project intends to study the multiple user groups including where they travel and where they access these locations. OHV users and human powered users alike have expressed frustration at the lack of formalized access points or the inadequate nature of these places. This lack of infrastructure leads to users finding their own access points that are primarily illegal or impactful to local residents and the environment. The project would identify locations that are in need of established formal trailheads and facilities for OHV users with the intent to create access points that are less impactful to neighborhoods.

Statement of Activities - 4970.10.3(d)(4)

Task 1: Project Management and Public Outreach

- 1. Invoicing and Progress Reports Prepare the monthly progress reports, invoices and billing
- 2. Project Coordination An initial meeting with the County and Stakeholders will be organized to establish study goals and objectives. Coordination with the County project manager and staff will be ongoing throughout the project
- 3. Public Outreach Lead, direct and monitor public outreach products including preparing for and attending County and Public Meetings (3 Meetings with the County and 1 public meeting and 1 charrette one at commencement of work and one presenting results of work)

Task 2: Existing Conditions Analysis

- 1. Intrinsic Qualities Mapping Consultant will work the County staff to develop GIS base mapping. Base mapping will include aerial, parcel, topographic, land use, hydrographic basis, parkas and recreation data layers, as well as available Tahoe Regional Planning Agency vegetation and suitability data layers to express the existing qualities of the improvements, amenities and attractions.
- 2. User Data Gathering Consultant will work with County to collect user data at key hub locations throughout the plan area. This data will consist of user counts, surveys as well as acquisition of data from activity tracking software.
- 3. Existing Conditions Report Consultant will provide a draft of the Existing Conditions data gathering section of the report. This report will inform the remaining tasks for further analysis of specific zones.

Task 3: Report Preparation

- 1. Draft Report Prepare draft report including graphics and illustrations. The report will include conceptual design level outline for access improvements, concept layouts, cost estimates, right of way needs, plan view, and cross sections. It is anticipated that the project report will not exceed 11x17 and will not include large format plots. The Plan will include an implementation component that will serve as a dynamic document to guide stakeholders in cooperatively funding and implementing the projects identified in the Plan. The implementation component will include a description of current available funding streams and a discussion of possible alternative and or additional options for funding. It will also include short term and long term stakeholder goals and objectives.
- 2. Stakeholder & Public Input There will be a work session with the stakeholder group to review the Draft Plan. It will be an opportunity to ensure the document will successfully communicate the content of the plan to others. Afterwords a meeting will be hosted to present the Draft Plan to the public and gather input.
- 3. Final Summary Preparation, Review & Presentation The consultant will coordinate with County and stakeholder group to review comments and create a strategy for preparing the final Plan that incorporates relevant information from the public workshop. The plan will be revised and made available in electronic and paper form

List of Reports - 4970.10.3(d)(5)

- Monthly progress reports and schedules
- Memorandum that synthesizes the results of the initial meeting, and action items to be completed by identified parties prepared by Consultant and distribution to all attendees
- A memorandum or draft report section on existing conditions assessment that summarizes the outcome of mapping and data gathering effort
- Base Map in GIS format and pdf of intrinsic qualities mapping effort
- · Draft copies of the Plan
- Meeting presentation materials, and a summary synthesizing the results of the public meeting, and actions items to be completed by identified parties
- · Final copies of the Plan in electronic and paper form.

Timeline for Completion - 4970.10.3(d)(6)

Attachment Title Attachment 22263_0_Project Schedule.pdf Plan Schedule

District and County Information							
California State Senate Districts	s						
Select one or more of the and Paste the URL (http://doi.org/10.1016/j.com/10.1016/j.co	o://www.legislature.ca	enate Distri a.gov/legisla	cts where th ators_and_c	e propos districts/di	ed projec stricts/di	ct activities wil stricts.html) in	l occur. Copy your browser
State Senate 01	State Senate 02	☐ State S	enate 03	☐ State	Senate (04 🔲 State	Senate 05
☐ State Senate 06 ☐	State Senate 07	☐ State S	enate 08	☐ State	Senate (9 🗆 State	Senate 10
☐ State Senate 11 ☐	State Senate 12	☐ State S	enate 13	☐ State	Senate 1	14 🔲 State	Senate 15
☐ State Senate 16 ☐	State Senate 17	☐ State S	enate 18	☐ State	Senate 1	19 🔲 State	Senate 20
☐ State Senate 21 ☐	State Senate 22	☐ State S	enate 23	☐ State	Senate 2	24 🔲 State	Senate 25
☐ State Senate 26 ☐	State Senate 27	☐ State S	enate 28	☐ State	Senate 2	29 🔲 State	Senate 30
☐ State Senate 31 ☐	State Senate 32	☐ State S	enate 33	☐ State	Senate 3	34 🔲 State	Senate 35
☐ State Senate 36 ☐	State Senate 37	State S	enate 38	☐ State	Senate 3	39 ☐ State	Senate 40
California State Assembly Distr	ricts						
Select one or more of the Copy and Paste the UR browser to determine the	RL (http://www.legislat	ture.ca.gov	stricts where /legislators_	the prop and_disti	osed pro ricts/distr	oject activities ricts/districts.h	will occur. tml) in your
✓ State Assembly 01	State Assembly 02	☐ State A	ssembly 03	☐ State	Assembl	y 04 🔲 State	Assembly 05
State Assembly 06	State Assembly 07	☐ State A	ssembly 08	☐ State	Assembl	y 09 🔲 State	Assembly 10
☐ State Assembly 11 ☐	State Assembly 12	☐ State A	ssembly 13	☐ State	Assembl	y 14 🔲 State	Assembly 15
☐ State Assembly 16 ☐	State Assembly 17	☐ State A	ssembly 18	☐ State	Assembl	y 19 🔲 State	Assembly 20
State Assembly 21	State Assembly 22	☐ State A	ssembly 23	☐ State	Assembl	y 24 🔲 State	Assembly 25
State Assembly 26			=				-
State Assembly 31			=				-
☐ State Assembly 36 ☐			=				-
State Assembly 41			=				-
☐ State Assembly 46 ☐			=				-
State Assembly 51			=				-
☐ State Assembly 56 ☐			=				-
State Assembly 61	-		-				-
☐ State Assembly 66 ☐	-		•				-
☐ State Assembly 71 ☐	-		•				-
☐ State Assembly 76 ☐			=				-
California Congressional Distri	-		,				,
-							
Select one or more of the Copy and Paste the UR Congressional district(s	RL (https://www.govtra	ssional Dist ack.us/cong	ricts where t gress/memb	the propo ers/CA) ii	sed proj n your br	ect activities wo rowser to dete	rill occur. rmine the
Congressional Distric	ct 1 Congressiona	al District 2	☐ Congres	ssional Di	strict 3	Congressio	nal District 4
Congressional Distric	ct 5 Congressiona	al District 6	☐ Congres	ssional Di	strict 7	Congressio Congressio	nal District 8
Congressional Distric	ct 9 Congressiona 10	al District	Congres	ssional Di	strict	Congressio	nal District
Congressional District	ct Congressiona 14	al District	Congres	ssional Di	strict	Congressio	nal District
Congressional Distric	ct Congressiona	al District	Congres	ssional Di	strict	Congressio	nal District

Project Description for Grants and Cooperative Agreements Program - 2018/2019 Applicant: Placer County Department of Public Works Application: Planning

3/2/2019

	Congressional	District	Cong	ressional District	Congressional	District	□ Cong 24	ressional District
	Congressional	District	Cong 26	ressional District	Congressional 27	District	Cong 28	ressional District
	Congressional	District	Cong 30	ressional District	Congressional	District	Cong 32	ressional District
	Congressional	District	Cong 34	ressional District	Congressional	District	Cong 36	ressional District
	Congressional 37	District	Cong 38	ressional District	Congressional	District	Cong 40	ressional District
	Congressional	District	Cong 42	ressional District	Congressional	District	Cong 44	ressional District
	Congressional	District	Cong 46	ressional District	Congressional	District	Cong 48	ressional District
	Congressional	District	Cong 50	ressional District	Congressional 51	District	Cong 52	ressional District
	Congressional 53	District						
County								
	Select one or mor	re of the C	California	Counties where the	e proposed project	activities	will occur	
	□ Alameda	☐ Alpine	Э	☐ Amador	□ Butte	☐ Calav	eras	Colusa
	Contra Costa	☐ Del N	orte	☐ El Dorado	Fresno	Glenr	ı	Humboldt
	☐ Imperial	□Inyo		□Kern	☐ Kings	Lake		Lassen
	Los Angeles	□Made	ra			☐ Mend	ocino	Merced
	☐ Modoc	□Mono			□ Napa	☐ Neva	da	Orange
	▼ Placer	Pluma	as	Riverside	☐ Sacramento	☐ San E	Benito	San Bernardino
	San Diego	☐ San F	rancisco	San Joaquin	San Luis Obispo	☐ San N	//ateo	Santa Barbara
	Santa Clara	☐ Santa	Cruz	Shasta	□ Sierra	☐ Siskiy	ou/ou	□ Solano
	□ Sonoma	☐ Stanis	slaus	Sutter	Tehama	☐ Trinity	/	Tulare
	Tuolumne	□ Ventu	ıra	□ Yolo	□ Yuba			

Project Cost Estimate

		FOR OFFICE USE ONLY:	Version #			APP #		
APPLI	CANT NAME :	Placer County Department of Public W	/orks					
PROJE	ECT TITLE :	Planning				PROJECT NUMBE (Division use only)		
PROJE	ECT TYPE :	Law Enforcement	Restoration		□ Ed	ducation & Safety	Acquisition	
		Development	Ground Opera	ations	✓ Pla	anning		
PROJE	ECT DESCRIPTION :							
	Line Item		Qty	Rate	UOM	Grant Req.	Match	Total
DIREC	T EXPENSES							
Progra	m Expenses							
1	Staff							
	1. Staff-Project Mana	ger	115.0000	73.140	HRS	6,308.33	2,102.68	8,411.01
	2. Staff-Senior Engine	eer	12.0000	75.820	HRS	682.38	227.62	910.00
	3. Staff-Drafter		12.0000	20.700	HRS	186.00	62.00	248.00
Total f	or Staff					7,176.71	2,392.30	9,569.01
2	Contracts							
	Contracts-Plan Cor	nsultant	1.0000	150000.000	EA	112,500.00	37,500.00	150,000.00
3	Materials / Supplies							
4	Equipment Use Exp	enses						
5	Equipment Purchase	es						
6	Others							
Total F	Program Expenses					119,676.71	39,892.30	159,569.01
TOTAL	DIRECT EXPENSES					119,676.71	39,892.30	159,569.01

Project Cost Estimate for Grants and Cooperative Agreements Program - 2018/2019 Agency: Placer County Department of Public Works Application: Planning

	Line Item	Qty	Rate	UOM	Grant Req.	Match	Total
INDIRE	ECT EXPENSES						
Indired	ct Costs						
1	Indirect Costs						
	Indirect Costs-Indirect Costs - Staff Time	1.0000	12377.360	EA	1,071.12	11,306.24	12,377.36
Total I	ndirect Costs				1,071.12	11,306.24	12,377.36
TOTAL INDIRECT EXPENSES					1,071.12	11,306.24	12,377.36
TOTAL	LEXPENDITURES	120,747.83	51,198.54	171,946.37			

	Category	Grant Req.	Match	Total	Narrative				
DIREC	DIRECT EXPENSES								
Progra	Program Expenses								
1	Staff	7,176.71	2,392.30	9,569.01					
2	Contracts	112,500.00	37,500.00	150,000.00					
3	Materials / Supplies	0.00	0.00	0.00					
4	Equipment Use Expenses	0.00	0.00	0.00					
5	Equipment Purchases	0.00	0.00	0.00					
6	Others	0.00	0.00	0.00					
Total I	Program Expenses	119,676.71	39,892.30	159,569.01					
TOTA	L DIRECT EXPENSES	119,676.71	39,892.30	159,569.01					
INDIR	ECT EXPENSES								
Indire	ct Costs								
1	Indirect Costs	1,071.12	11,306.24	12,377.36					
Total I	Indirect Costs	1,071.12	11,306.24	12,377.36					
TOTA	L INDIRECT EXPENSES	1,071.12	11,306.24	12,377.36					
TOTA	L EXPENDITURES	120,747.83	51,198.54	171,946.37					

Evaluation Criteria

	FOR OFFICE USE ONLY:	Version #	APP #	
1.	Project Cost Estimate - Q 1. (Auto popul	ulates from Cost Est	imate)	
1.	As calculated on the Project Cost Est Applicant is:	timate, the percentage	of the Project costs covered by the	2
	(Note: This field will auto-populate on	nce the Cost Estimate	and Evaluation Criteria are Validated.)	
	76% or more (10 points)			
	66% - 75% (7 points)			
	C 51% - 65% (5 points)			
	C 36% - 50% (4 points)			
	© 26% - 35% (2 points)			
	25% (Match minimum) (No points)		
2.	Planning Project - Q 2.			
A Pla	nning Project (Page 1) - Q 2.			
2.	The Planning Project will address the	e following:		3
	(Check all that apply)			
	Potential effects of OHV Recreation	on on special-status sp	ecies habitats	
	Potential effects of OHV Recreation	on on cultural resource	s	
	Potential effects of OHV Recreation	on on soil conditions		
	Potential effects of OHV Recreation	on on water quality		
	Potentail effects of OHV Recreation	on on other recreation	uses	
	✓ Potential effects of OHV Recreation	on on adjacent lands		
	Potential impact to relationships be	etween OHV Recreation	on and local residents	
	Toxic or hazardous materials withi	n a Project Area or ad	ljacent property that may impact OHV Re	ecreation
	Potential offsite impacts relative to	the Project Area (e.g.	., sound, fugitive dust, runoff)	
	☐ Trail issues such as traffic patterns	s, trails closures, appro	opriate uses, etc.	
B. Pla	nning Project (Page 2) - Q 2.			
	Provide a detailed explanation for each	ch statement that was	checked:	
	most needed improvement. User cor the plan. The plan will collect user da are occurring and come up with propo will be evaluated as part of the planni local residents will be assessed and i	offlicts between OHV a ta and surveys from u osed solutions. The ir ing process. Potential is a main focus of this e conflicts. This include	e one of the criteria assessed in the plan and other recreation uses is a main topic sers of different types to assess where t impacts of OHV Recreation access on ad impact to relationships between OHV Replan. These conflicts are prevalent in our es the potential offsite impacts relative to	of study in hese conflicts ljacent lands ecreation and ir area and
	(Check the one most appropriate)			
	6 or more items checked (4 points	s)		
	• 4 to 5 items checked (3 points)			

2 to 3 items checked (2 points)1 or no items checked (No points)

Application: Planning

3.	Motorized	Access -	- Q 3.
----	------------------	----------	--------

4.

5.

3.	The Project is intended to lead to improved facilities that provide motorized access to the following nonmotorized recreation opportunities (Respond ONLY if Planning Project involves road(s) or trails(s)):				
	(Check all that apply) - Scoring: 2 points each, up to a maximum of 6 points				
	▽ Camping	☐ Birding			
	☑ Hiking	Equestrian trails			
	Fishing				
	☐ Hunting	Other (specify) [skiing, mountain biking]			
	Provide a detailed explanation for each statement that	was checked:			
	motorized recreation opportunities the Lake Tahoe are	es motorized users less capable of utilizing the vast non a has to offer. This includes forest lands that can be used g trails, rock climbing areas, and backcountry skiing areas.			
Pu	ıblic Input - Q 4.				
4.	The Project was developed with public input prior to the preliminary Application filing deadline. Public input employed the following:				
	(Check all that apply)				
	☐ The Applicant initiated and conducted publicly notic (1 point)	ed meeting(s) with the general public to discuss Project			
	☐ The Applicant held a meeting(s) with mulitiple distinct stakeholders separate from their general public meeting. (1 point)				
		nd who hosted the meeting. Applicant must identify how onot include internal agency meetings or meetings that			
St	akeholder Input - Q 5.				
5.	If the Project were approved, the planning process will of the Project performance period:	incorporate stakeholder input for the entirety 5			
	(Check the one most appropriate)				
	C No (No points)				
	If "Yes" list each stakeholder separately and explain ho	ow stakeholders' input will be beneficial to the Project:			
	Tahoe Basin. Town of Truckee - Neighboring town with owner. CA State Parks - Land owner. Tahoe City Publ maintenance of trails and recreation centers in the Tah District - The NTPUD has ownership and/or maintenance.	•			

6. Utilization of Partnerships - Q 6.

needs.

centers in the Northstar Martis area Tahoe Backcountry Alliance, Sierra Snomobile Foundation, Tahoe Mountain Bike Association, Tahoe Rim Trail Association - Nonprofit groups that have vital public input on user group

6. The Project will utilize partnerships to successfully accomplish the Project. Identify the number of 4 organizations that will actively participate in the Project. Partners cannot include any unit of the OHMVR Division, subcontractors or any participant being paid by this OHV Grant or Cooperative Agreement. (Check the one most appropriate) (4 points) 2 to 3 (2 points) C 1 (1 point) None (No points) List each partner organization(s) separately and provide a detailed explanation for how each partner will participate in the Project: TRPA - County will coordinate with TRPA and their Corridor planning efforts USFS - As a property owner, partnership with the USFS will be essential. The County will include USFS in their plan process for input. CA State Parks - As an additional key property owner partnership with State Parks will also be essential, and they will be included in the plan process. Town of Truckee - The Town of Truckee is a major population source for users and by partnering and reaching out via their public process we will ensure we meet the needs of their constituents. Sustain OHV Opportunity - Q 7. 7. The Planning Project sustains OHV Opportunity in the following manner: (Check all that apply) Project will develop management plans for existing OHV Opportunity (6 points) Project will complete environmental review for an OHV Development Project (5 points) Project supports development of OHV Opportunities within 60 miles of incorporated city (5 points) Project will develop a system of designated OHV routes within an existing OHV Opportunity (4 points) Provide a detailed explanation for each statement that was checked: Creation of a new OHV Opportunity - Q 8. 8. If successful, would the Project lead to the creation of a new OHV Opportunity within the jurisdiction 0 of a Land Manager that does not currently provide OHV Opportunity? (Check the one most appropriate) (No points) C Yes (20 points)

7.

8.

Provide a detailed explanation for the "Yes" response:

3/2/2019

Attachments Index

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY: Version #	APP #
--------------------------------	-------

#	Section	Title	File Name

1 Planning Project Schedule.pdf 22263_0_Project Schedule.pdf